

LOCAL HÖLDER CONTINUITY FOR SOME DOUBLY NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS IN MEASURE SPACES

EURICA HENRIQUES AND ROJBIN LALEOGLU

ABSTRACT. We establish the local Hölder continuity for the nonnegative weak solutions of certain doubly nonlinear parabolic equations possessing a singularity in the time derivative part and a degeneracy in the principal part by using the method of intrinsic scaling. We work in measure spaces equipped with a doubling non-trivial Borel measure supporting a Poincaré inequality.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the regularity question for the nonnegative weak solutions of the doubly nonlinear equation

$$\frac{\partial(u^q)}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0, \quad p > 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < q < 1. \quad (1.1)$$

This particular equation and similar ones appear in the modeling of turbulent filtration of a gas or a liquid through porous media and non-Newtonian fluids (see [9]). In the case $q = 1$, the equation becomes the heat equation for $p = 2$ and the degenerate p -Laplace equation for $p \neq 2$.

In addition to the double nonlinearity equation (1.1) possesses, it has a degeneracy coming from the fact that its modulus of ellipticity $|\nabla u|^{p-2}$ vanishes at points where $|\nabla u| = 0$, and a singularity due to the term u^{q-1} , enclosed in the time derivative part, which blows up at points where $u = 0$. By using the method of intrinsic scaling, (see [5, 8, 26]), we show that weak solutions are locally Hölder continuous in the setting of a measure space with a doubling non-trivial Borel measure supporting a Poincaré inequality. For other successful uses of intrinsic geometry, see also [1, 2, 6, 7, 14, 20].

There exists a dichotomy in the behaviour of weak solutions of (1.1). For large scales, when the infimum is considerably smaller than the oscillation, the singularity in the time derivative part dominates. However, for small scales the equation becomes essentially the singular p -Laplace equation. Both cases are treated via the method of intrinsic scaling but each requires the construction of a suitable intrinsic geometry on its own and the different choice of certain test functions.

This work can be regarded as a natural continuation of [21] (see also [19]) which considers the case $q = p - 1$, corresponding the so-called the

Date: August 6, 2012.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35B65. Secondary 35K65, 35K67, 35D10.

Key words and phrases. Hölder continuity, singular PDE, degenerate PDE, intrinsic scaling.

degenerate Trudinger's equation. Unlike Trudinger's equation (see [25, 17]), in our case the Harnack's inequality is not available. Therefore, despite the similarities with the Hölder continuity analysis for this equation the techniques employed are quite different.

The same regularity issues were considered by Porzio and Vespi, Vespi and Ivanov, see [22, 27, 15], for equations that are equivalent to (1.1) provided the solution is strictly away from zero (see also [10, 11]).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we provide the basic definitions and facts on metric spaces that are needed for our goals and present also the main result. Section 3 contains the construction of the iteration argument and fundamental estimates, namely the energy and logarithmic estimates; sections 4 includes the continuity analysis. The case corresponding to the parabolic behaviour is easier and will be treated at the end of the 4th section.

2. PRELIMINARY MATERIAL AND MAIN RESULT

Let μ be a Borel measure and U be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The Sobolev space $H^{1,p}(U; \mu)$ is defined to be the completion of $C^\infty(U)$ with respect to the Sobolev norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p,U} = \left(\int_U (|u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) d\mu \right)^{1/p}.$$

A function u belongs to the local Sobolev space $H_{loc}^{1,p}(U; \mu)$ if it belongs to $H^{1,p}(\Omega; \mu)$ for every compactly contained subset Ω of U . Moreover, the Sobolev space with zero boundary values $H_0^{1,p}(U; \mu)$ is defined as the completion of $C_0^\infty(U)$ with respect to the Sobolev norm. For more properties of Sobolev spaces, see e.g. [13].

The parabolic Sobolev space $L^p(t_1, t_2; H^{1,p}(U; \mu))$, with $t_1 < t_2$, is the space of functions $u(x, t)$ such that, for almost every $t \in (t_1, t_2)$ the function $u(\cdot, t)$ belongs to $H^{1,p}(U; \mu)$ and

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_U (|u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) d\nu < \infty,$$

where we denote $d\nu = d\mu dt$.

The space $L_{loc}^p(t_1, t_2; H_{loc}^{1,p}(U; \mu))$ is defined analogously.

Definition 2.1. A function $u \in L_{loc}^p(\tau_1, \tau_2; H_{loc}^{1,p}(U; \mu))$, where U is an open and bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, is a weak solution of equation (1.1) in $U \times (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ if for any compact $\Omega \subset U$ and for almost every $\tau_1 < t_1 < t_2 < \tau_2$ it satisfies the integral equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [u^q(x, t_2)\eta(x, t_2) - u^q(x, t_1)\eta(x, t_1)] d\mu \\ & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \eta - u^q \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right) d\nu = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for every $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (t_1, t_2))$.

Here the boundary terms are taken in the sense of limits

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x, t_1) \eta(x, t_1) d\mu = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \eta(x, t) d\nu$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x, t_2) \eta(x, t_2) d\mu = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_2-h}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \eta(x, t) d\nu.$$

The following notion of weak solution given by Steklov averages is technically more convenient to work with since it involves the discrete time derivative of the solution and it is equivalent to the previous definition, [5, p. 101–102].

Definition 2.2. A weak solution of (1.1) is a measurable function $u \in L_{loc}^p(\tau_1, \tau_2; H_{loc}^{1,p}(U; \mu))$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega \times \{t\}} \left\{ \frac{\partial (u^q)_h}{\partial t} \eta + \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right)_h \cdot \nabla \eta \right\} d\mu = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for every compact $\Omega \subset U$ and for almost every $\tau_1 < t < \tau_2 - h$ and for all $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Next, we recall a few definitions and results from analysis on metric measure spaces. The measure μ is doubling if there is a universal constant $D_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq D_0 \mu(B(x, r)),$$

for every $B(x, 2r) \subset \Omega$. Here

$$B(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y - x| < r\}$$

denotes the standard open ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with radius r and center x .

The dimension related to the doubling measure is $d_\mu = \log_2 D_0$. Observe that for the Lebesgue measure this is $d_{\mathcal{L}} = d$. Let $0 < r < R < \infty$. A simple iteration of the doubling condition implies that

$$\frac{\mu(B(x, R))}{\mu(B(x, r))} \leq C \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{d_\mu}, \quad (2.3)$$

where C depends only on the doubling constant D_0 .

Recall that, for an $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, we say that the measure μ satisfies the α -annular decay property if there exists a constant c such that

$$\mu(B(x, r) \setminus B(x, (1 - \delta)r)) \leq c\delta^\alpha \mu(B(x, r)), \quad (2.4)$$

for all $B(x, r) \subset \Omega$ and for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Obviously in our setting this property holds, this follows directly from the doubling property, in particular from (2.3). It was proven by Buckley [3] that any length space has the chain ball property and, for any metric space equipped with a doubling measure, the chain ball property implies the α -annular decay property for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ (see also [4]).

The measure is said to support a weak (q, p) -Poincaré inequality if there exist constants $P_0 > 0$ and $\tau \geq 1$ such that

$$\left(\int_{B(x, r)} |u - u_{B(x, r)}|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \leq P_0 r \left(\int_{B(x, \tau r)} |\nabla u|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}, \quad (2.5)$$

for every $u \in H_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega; \mu)$ and $B(x, \tau r) \subset \Omega$. Here, we denote

$$u_{B(x,r)} = \int_{B(x,r)} u \, d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} u \, d\mu.$$

The word weak refers to the constant τ , that may be strictly greater than one. In $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a doubling measure, the weak (q, p) -Poincaré inequality with some $\tau \geq 1$ implies the (q, p) -Poincaré inequality with $\tau = 1$, see Theorem 3.4 in [12]. Hence, we may assume that $\tau = 1$.

We will assume that the measure supports a weak $(1, p)$ -Poincaré inequality. On the other hand, the weak $(1, p)$ -Poincaré inequality and the doubling condition imply a weak (κ, p) -Sobolev-Poincaré inequality with

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} \frac{d_\mu p}{d_\mu - p}, & 1 < p < d_\mu, \\ 2p, & p \geq d_\mu, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where d_μ is as above. For the proof, we refer to [12].

For Sobolev functions with zero boundary values, we have the following version of Sobolev's inequality. Suppose that $u \in H_0^{1,p}(B(x, r); \mu)$. Then

$$\left(\int_{B(x,r)} |u|^\kappa \, d\mu \right)^{1/\kappa} \leq Cr \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2.7)$$

For the proof we refer, for example, to [18]. Moreover, by a recent result in [16], the weak $(1, p)$ -Poincaré inequality and the doubling condition also imply the $(1, q)$ -Poincaré inequality for some $q < p$. Consequently, we also have the weak (κ, q) -Sobolev-Poincaré inequality which implies, by Hölder's inequality, the (q, q) -Poincaré inequality for some $q < p$. For more information on Sobolev-type inequalities see [23, 24].

Indeed, the Euclidian metric does not play a crucial role in our method and can be replaced with another metric provided that the space endowed with this metric has the annular decay property. As mentioned before, this property holds true for length spaces, *i.e.* metric spaces in which the distance between any pair of points is the infimum of the lengths of rectifiable paths joining them. Moreover, the fact that the theory of Sobolev spaces is based on the notion of weak derivatives brings about the need of an alternative way of defining weak gradients in a general metric measure space. However this can be overcome by employing the so called upper gradients.

Our main result is the following theorem. It still holds for general equations with a more general principal part $\mathcal{A}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u^{\gamma(x)} - \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}(x, t, v, \nabla v)) = 0,$$

where the Carathéodory function $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the structure conditions

$$\mathcal{A}(x, t, v, \eta) \cdot \eta \geq \mathcal{A}_0 |\eta|^p, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\mathcal{A}(x, t, v, \eta) \leq \mathcal{A}_1 |\eta|^{p-1}, \quad (2.9)$$

for almost every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and every $(u, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, for some constants $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 > 0$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $p > 2$ and $0 < q < 1$. Assume that the measure μ is doubling and supports a weak $(1, p)$ -Poincaré inequality. Then any non-negative weak solution of equation (1.1) is locally Hölder continuous.*

We will work with parabolic cylinders built upon balls. Let (x_0, t_0) be a point in the space-time domain. The cylinder of radius $r > 0$ and height $s > 0$, with vertex at (x_0, t_0) , is defined as

$$Q_{x_0, t_0}(s, r) := B(x_0, r) \times (t_0 - s, t_0).$$

We write $Q(s, r)$ to denote $Q_{0,0}(s, r)$. Moreover, we shall use the notation $\delta Q_{x_0, t_0}(s, r) = Q_{x_0, t_0}(\delta^p s, \delta r)$ and $\delta B(x_0, r) = B(x_0, \delta r)$.

In the sequel, we shall refer to *data* as the set of a priori constants p, q, d, D_0 , and P_0 . We will suppose that the weak solutions of equation (1.1) are locally bounded and we will assume

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(U \times (\tau_1, \tau_2))} < M, \quad (2.10)$$

for some positive constant M . This assumption will be used without further comments hereafter.

We will need the following algebraic lemma, the so called “lemma on fast geometric convergence” (cf. [5]) to establish our result.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(Y_n)_n$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying*

$$Y_{n+1} \leq C b^n Y_n^{1+\alpha},$$

where $C, b > 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then $(Y_n)_n$ converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ provided

$$Y_0 \leq C^{-1/\alpha} b^{1-\alpha^2}.$$

3. CONSTRUCTING THE GEOMETRIC SETTING AND FUNDAMENTAL ESTIMATES

Let u be a nonnegative weak solution of equation (1.1) in $U \times (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ and let K be a compact subset of $U \times (\tau_1, \tau_2)$. The Hölder continuity of u at a point (x_0, t_0) in K follows via an iteration process applied in a sequence of nested and shrinking cylinders with vertex at that point. In each step of the iteration process, we prove that the oscillation of the solution reduces in a measurable way as we suitably decrease the size of the cylinder. Finally, the oscillation converges to zero as the cylinders shrink to the point. Because it is always possible to translate the equation, without loss of generality, we restrict the study to the origin. Moreover, since we focus on the local interior regularity it is enough for our purposes to assume K is the cylinder

$$K := Q(2R^2, 2R), \quad R > 0.$$

For the initial cylinder K , let

$$\mu^- \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_K u \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^+ \geq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_K u,$$

and define

$$\omega := \mu^+ - \mu^-.$$

We choose μ^- small enough so that

$$\mu^- \leq \frac{\omega}{4} \quad (3.1)$$

holds. We may assume that $\omega > 0$, because otherwise there is nothing to prove. We also assume that, without loss of generality, $\omega \leq 1$.

For a suitably chosen sequence $\{Q^i\}$ of cylinders, we will construct a nondecreasing sequence $\{\mu_i^-\}$ and a nonincreasing sequence $\{\mu_i^+\}$ so that

$$\mu_i^- \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{Q^i} u \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_i^+ \geq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{Q^i} u. \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\omega_i := \mu_i^+ - \mu_i^- = \sigma^i \omega, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

for some $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. As a consequence of (3.2) we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,osc}_{Q^i} u \leq \omega_i.$$

The actual proof will proceed by induction. We will assume that in Q^i the two-sided bound (3.2) holds. Then we shall build the cylinder Q^{i+1} in such a way that (3.2) is verified with $i + 1$.

For $\delta > 0$, sufficiently small, let

$$R_i = \delta^i R, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots \quad (3.3)$$

We will work with a sequence $\{Q^i\}$ of nested and shrinking cylinders of the form

$$Q^i := Q(c_i R_i^p, R_i), \quad c_i = \omega_i^{q-1} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2\lambda} \right)^{2-p}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $\lambda > 1$ is a constant to be fixed later depending only on the data.

In particular for the initial cylinder $Q^0 = Q(c_0 R^p, R)$ we choose $\mu_0^\pm$ as

$$\mu_0^\pm = \mu^\pm \quad (3.4)$$

which immediately gives

$$\omega_0 = \omega \quad \text{and} \quad c_0 = \omega^{q-1} \left(\frac{\omega}{2\lambda} \right)^{2-p}.$$

We assume, without loss of generality, that

$$R < 2^{-\lambda} \omega^{1+\frac{1-q}{p-2}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Indeed, otherwise we would have $\omega \leq 2^{-\lambda\beta} R^\beta$, with $\beta = [1 + (1-q)/(p-2)]^{-1}$, in which case there is nothing to prove because then the oscillation is comparable to the radius. Assumption (3.5) guarantees the inclusion

$$Q(c_0 R^p, R) \subset Q(R^2, 2R)$$

and thus implies the starting relation

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{Q^0} u \leq \omega_0 := \omega.$$

In order to assure the inclusion $Q^{i+1} \subset Q^i$ for all $i \geq 1$ it is enough to assume $\delta \leq \sigma^{(p-q-1)/p}$.

3.1. Energy estimates. We introduce the auxiliary function

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}((u-k)_\pm) &= \pm \int_{k^q}^{u^q} \left(\xi^{1/q} - k \right)_\pm d\xi \\ &= \pm q \int_k^u (\xi - k)_\pm \xi^{q-1} d\xi \\ &= q \int_0^{(u-k)_\pm} (k \pm \xi)^{q-1} \xi d\xi\end{aligned}$$

for which

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{J}((u-k)_\pm) = \pm \frac{\partial(u^q)}{\partial t} (u-k)_\pm. \quad (3.6)$$

In what follows, we will need the following estimates. For $p > 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}((u-k)_+) &= q \int_0^{(u-k)_+} (k + \xi)^{q-1} \xi d\xi \\ &\leq q k^{q-1} \int_0^{(u-k)_+} \xi d\xi \\ &\leq q k^{q-1} \frac{(u-k)_+^2}{2}\end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}((u-k)_+) &\geq q u^{q-1} \int_0^{(u-k)_+} \xi d\xi \\ &\geq q u^{q-1} \frac{(u-k)_+^2}{2}.\end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, for the minus case we obtain the following estimates.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}((u-k)_-) &= q \int_0^{(u-k)_-} (k - \xi)^{q-1} \xi d\xi \\ &\leq q (u-k)_- \int_0^{(u-k)_-} (k - \xi)^{q-1} d\xi \\ &\leq k^q (u-k)_-\end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}((u-k)_-) &\geq q k^{q-1} \int_0^{(u-k)_-} \xi d\xi \\ &\geq q k^{q-1} \frac{(u-k)_-^2}{2}.\end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

We will deduce the fundamental energy estimate over the cylinders $\Omega \times (t_1, t_2) \subset U \times (\tau_1, \tau_2)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u \geq 0$ be a weak solution of (1.1) and let $k \geq 0$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(p) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{ess sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{J}((u-k)_\pm) \varphi^p d\mu + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u-k)_\pm \varphi|^p d\nu \\ &\leq C \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} (u-k)_\pm^p |\nabla \varphi|^p d\nu + C \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{J}((u-k)_\pm) \varphi^{p-1} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right)_+ d\nu,\end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for every nonnegative $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (t_1, t_2))$.

Proof. We take $\eta = \pm (\bar{u}_h - k)_\pm \varphi^p$, where $\bar{u}_h = [(u^q)_h]^{1/q}$, as a test function in (2.2), which is in the Sobolev space $H_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and only admissible after an approximation, and integrate in time over (t_1, t) , for $t \in (t_1, t_2)$ to obtain

$$0 = \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\partial((u^q)_h)}{\partial t} \eta + \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right)_h \cdot \nabla \eta \right] d\nu.$$

The first term on the right hand side can be estimated from below by using (3.6), integrating by parts and finally letting $h \rightarrow 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial(\bar{u}_h)^q}{\partial t} [\pm(\bar{u}_h - k)_\pm \varphi^p] d\nu = \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathcal{J}((\bar{u}_h - k)_\pm)) \varphi^p d\nu \\ & \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega \times \{t\}} \mathcal{J}((u - k)_\pm) \varphi^p d\mu - p \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{J}((u - k)_\pm) \varphi^{p-1} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right)_+ d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the second integral on the right hand side we first let $h \rightarrow 0$ and then use the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nabla(u - k)_\pm|^{p-2} (\pm \nabla(u - k)_\pm) \cdot \nabla(\pm(u - k)_\pm \varphi^p) \\ & \geq |\nabla(u - k)_\pm|^p \varphi^p - p |\nabla(u - k)_\pm|^{p-1} \varphi^{p-1} (u - k)_\pm |\nabla \varphi|. \end{aligned}$$

The last term is estimated further by Young's inequality as

$$\begin{aligned} & -p |\nabla(u - k)_\pm|^{p-1} \varphi^{p-1} (u - k)_\pm |\nabla \varphi| \\ & \geq -\frac{1}{2} |\nabla(u - k)_\pm|^p \varphi^p - C(u - k)_\pm^p |\nabla \varphi|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the estimation of the second integral on the right hand side reads as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right)_h \cdot \nabla \eta d\nu \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u - k)_\pm \varphi|^p d\nu - C(p) \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} (u - k)_\pm^p |\nabla \varphi|^p d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t \in (t_1, t_2)$ is arbitrary we can combine both estimates to obtain (3.11). □

3.2. Logarithmic Estimates. We introduce the function

$$\psi_\pm(u) := \Psi(H_k^\pm, (u - k)_\pm, c) = \left(\ln \left(\frac{H_k^\pm}{c + H_k^\pm - (u - k)_\pm} \right) \right)_+.$$

for which we obtain the following logarithmic integral inequalities. These estimates are technical tools used to forward information in time. The proof is somehow formal since it involves the time derivative of v . A rigorous justification requires the use of Steklov averages in the spirit of [5, p. 101–102] (see also [21]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $u \geq 0$ be a weak solution of equation (1.1). Then there exists a constant $C = C(p, q) > 0$ such that, for $p > 2$ and $0 < q < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} k^{q-1} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2} \int_{\Omega} \psi_-^2(u)(x, t) \varphi^p(x) d\mu &\leq \int_{\Omega} u^{q-1} \psi_-^2(u)(x, t_1) \varphi^p(x) d\mu \\ &+ C \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \psi_-(u) |(\psi_-)'(u)|^{2-p} |\nabla \varphi|^p d\nu \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2} \int_{\Omega} \psi_+^2(v)(x, t) \varphi^p(x) d\mu &\leq \int_{\Omega} \psi_+^2(v)(x, t_1) \varphi^p(x) d\mu \\ &+ C \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{(1-q)(p-1)}{q}} \psi_+(v) |(\psi_+)'(v)|^{2-p} |\nabla \varphi|^p d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is any time-independent test function and $v = u^q$. In the plus case we assume that u is strictly away from zero.

Proof. We start with the minus case. Choose

$$\eta_-(u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} (\psi_-^2(u)) \varphi^p$$

in the definition of weak solution and integrate in time over (t_1, t) for $t \in (t_1, t_2)$. Observe that

$$(\psi_-^2)'' = 2(1 + \psi_-)(\psi_-')^2. \quad (3.12)$$

The estimate of the parabolic term reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u^q}{\partial t} \eta_-(u) d\nu &= \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{k^q}^{u^q} \eta_-(s^{1/q}) ds d\nu \\ &= \left[\int_{\Omega} \int_{k^q}^{u^q} \eta_-(s^{1/q}) ds d\mu \right]_{t_1}^t \\ &= \left[\int_{\Omega} q \int_k^u \eta_-(s) s^{q-1} ds d\mu \right]_{t_1}^t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Integrating by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_k^u \eta_-(s) s^{q-1} ds &= \int_k^u (\psi_-^2(s))' s^{q-1} ds \varphi^p \\ &= \varphi^p [\psi_-^2(s) s^{q-1}]_k^u - (q-1) \int_k^u \psi_-^2(s) s^{q-2} ds \varphi^p \\ &= \psi_-^2(u) u^{q-1} \varphi^p - (1-q) \int_u^k \psi_-^2(s) s^{q-2} ds \varphi^p. \end{aligned}$$

From the above equality we get

$$\int_k^u \eta_-(s) s^{q-1} ds \geq \psi_-^2(u) k^{q-1} \varphi^p$$

and

$$\int_k^u \eta_-(s) s^{q-1} ds \leq \psi_-^2(u) u^{q-1} \varphi^p.$$

Using these estimates in (3.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u^q}{\partial t} \eta_-(u) d\nu &\geq q k^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} \psi_-^2(u)(x, t) \varphi^p(x) d\mu \\ &\quad - q \int_{\Omega} u^{q-1} \psi_-^2(u)(x, t_1) \varphi^p(x) d\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

for all $t \in (t_1, t_2)$.

Concerning the remaining term, we first let $h \rightarrow 0$ and by using (3.12) together with Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \eta_- &= |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla ((\psi_-^2(u))' \varphi^p) \\ &\geq 2|\nabla u|^p (1 + \psi_-) (\psi_-')^2 \varphi^p - 2p |\nabla u|^{p-1} \psi_- \psi_-' \varphi^{p-1} |\nabla \varphi| \\ &\geq |\nabla u|^p (\psi_-')^2 \varphi^p - C \psi_- (\psi_-')^{2-p} |\nabla \varphi|^p, \end{aligned}$$

almost everywhere. The claim for the minus case follows from the previous estimate and (3.14).

In the plus case we choose

$$\eta_+(v) = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (\psi_+^2(v)) \varphi^p,$$

where $v = u^q$. Notice that (3.12) continues to hold for this choice of test function. After integrating in time over (t_1, t) for $t \in (t_1, t_2)$, the estimate of the parabolic term follows as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \eta_+(u^q) d\nu &= \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (\psi_+^2(v)) \varphi^p d\nu \\ &= \int_{t_1}^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi_+^2(v)) \varphi^p d\nu \\ &= \left[\int_{\Omega} \psi_+^2(v) \varphi^p d\mu \right]_{t_1}^t. \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.12) together with Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \eta_+ &= |\nabla v^{1/q}|^{p-2} \nabla v^{1/q} \cdot \nabla ((\psi_+^2(v))' \varphi^p) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{p-1}} v^{\frac{(1-q)(p-1)}{q}} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \{ 2(1 + \psi_+) (\psi_+')^2 \varphi^p \} \\ &\quad + \frac{p}{q^{p-1}} v^{\frac{(1-q)(p-1)}{q}} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \{ 2\psi_+ \psi_+' \nabla \varphi \} \\ &\geq -C(p, q) v^{\frac{(1-q)(p-1)}{q}} \psi_+(v) (\psi_+'(v))^{2-p} |\nabla \varphi|^p, \end{aligned}$$

almost everywhere, from which the claim follows. Note that here we also use the fact that $f(x) = x^{1/q}$, $0 < q < 1$ is Lipschitz for strictly positive values of x . Therefore by the chain rule we get

$$\nabla v^{1/q} = \frac{1}{q} v^{\frac{1-q}{q}}$$

since in this case we assume that u is strictly positive. $\square$

4. CONTINUITY ANALYSIS

Suppose that $u \geq 0$ is a solution of (1.1) in $Q^i = Q(c_i R_i^p, R_i)$ and we have

$$\mu_i^- \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{Q^i} u \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{Q^i} u \leq \mu_i^+, \quad \mu_i^+ - \mu_i^- =: \omega_i. \quad (4.1)$$

Assume that $\mu_i^\pm$ satisfy

$$4\mu_i^- \leq \omega_i = \mu_i^+ - \mu_i^-. \quad (4.2)$$

The case where this assumption does not hold will be treated in the Subsection 4.3 at the end of this section.

Inside Q^i , we consider subcylinders of smaller size

$$Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i), \quad d_i = \omega_i^{q-1} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2} \right)^{2-p},$$

which are contained in Q^i whenever

$$[2^{p-2} - 2^{\lambda(p-2)}] \frac{R_i^p}{\omega_i^{p-1-q}} < t^* < 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Since λ can always be arranged so that $N_i = c_i/d_i = 2^{(\lambda-1)(p-2)}$ to be an integer Q^i can be viewed as the union, up to a set of measure zero, of N_i disjoint cylinders each congruent to $Q_{0,t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i)$.

The reduction of the oscillation is based on the analysis of an alternative (see [5, 26]). For a constant $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$, that will be determined depending only on the data, either

The First Alternative.

there is a cylinder of the type $Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i)$

$$\frac{\nu(\{(x, t) \in Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i) : u(x, t) < \mu_i^- + \omega_i/2\})}{\nu(Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i))} \leq \alpha_0, \quad (4.4)$$

or, since $\mu_i^+ - \frac{\omega_i}{2} = \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{2}$

The Second Alternative.

for every cylinder $Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i) \subset Q^i$, we have

$$\frac{\nu(\{(x, t) \in Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i) : u(x, t) < \mu_i^+ - \omega_i/2\})}{\nu(Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i))} < 1 - \alpha_0. \quad (4.5)$$

In both cases, we will prove that the essential oscillation of u within a smaller cylinder decreases in a measurable way, measurable so that we can derive a modulus of continuity. The constant α_0 will be fixed in the course of the proof of Lemma 4.1.

4.1. The First Alternative. Now we assume that the first alternative holds.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a constant $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$, depending only on the data, such that if (4.4) holds for some t^* as in (4.3) then*

$$u(x, t) > \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{4} \quad \text{in} \quad \frac{1}{2} Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i).$$

Proof. Define

$$R_n = \frac{R_i}{2} + \frac{R_i}{2^{n+1}}, \quad Q_n = B_n \times T_n = B(R_n) \times (t^* - d_i R_n^p, t^*)$$

and

$$k_n = \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^n}\right)$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Choose cutoff functions $\varphi_n \in C^\infty(Q_n)$, vanishing on the parabolic boundary of Q_n , and such that $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$, $\varphi_n = 1$ in Q_{n+1} ,

$$|\nabla \varphi_n| \leq \frac{C2^n}{R_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial t}\right)_+ \leq \frac{C2^{pn}}{d_i R_i^p}. \quad (4.6)$$

By assumption (4.2)

$$\frac{\omega_i}{4} \leq k_n \leq \omega_i. \quad (4.7)$$

Here the first inequality is trivial and follows from the definition of k_n .

By Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's inequality (2.7) together with the doubling property of the measure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{n+1}} (u - k_n)_\pm^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} d\nu \\ & \leq \frac{\nu(Q_n)}{\nu(Q_{n+1})} \int_{Q_n} (u - k_n)_\pm^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} \varphi_n^{p(1-p/\kappa)+p} d\nu \\ & \leq C \int_{T_n} \left(\int_{B_n} (u - k_n)_\pm^2 \varphi_n^p d\mu \right)^{1-p/\kappa} \left(\int_{B_n} ((u - k_n)_\pm \varphi_n)^\kappa d\mu \right)^{p/\kappa} dt \\ & \leq C R_n^p \left(\text{ess sup}_{T_n} \int_{B_n} (u - k_n)_\pm^2 \varphi_n^p d\mu \right)^{1-p/\kappa} \int_{Q_n} |\nabla((u - k_n)_\pm \varphi_n)|^p d\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where κ is the Sobolev exponent as in (2.6). Note that this estimate is independent of the choice of k_n and Q_n .

Taking into account (3.9) and (3.10), energy estimate (3.1) in the minus case takes yields

$$\begin{aligned} & k_n^{q-1} \text{ess sup}_{T_n} \int_{B_n} (u - k_n)_-^2 \varphi_n^p d\mu + d_i R_n^p \int_{Q_n} |\nabla(u - k_n)_- \varphi_n|^p d\nu \\ & \leq C d_i R_n^p \int_{Q_n} (u - k_n)_-^p |\nabla \varphi_n|^p d\nu + C d_i R_n^p k_n^q \int_{Q_n} (u - k_n)_- \varphi_n^{p-1} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial t}\right)_+ d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.6) and (4.7) together with the fact that $(u - k_n)_- \leq \omega_i$ almost everywhere the above inequality can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_i^{q-1} \text{ess sup}_{T_n} \int_{B_n} (u - k_n)_-^2 \varphi_n^p d\mu + d_i R_n^p \int_{Q_n} |\nabla(u - k_n)_- \varphi_n|^p d\nu \\ & \leq C 2^{np} d_i \left\{ \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)^p + \omega_i^q \frac{\omega_i}{2} \frac{1}{d_i} \right\} \frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_n = \{(x, t) \in Q_n : u(x, t) < k_n\}.$$

Thus, by using the definition of d_i , we conclude with

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{T_n} \int_{B_n} (u-k_n)_-^2 \varphi_n^p d\mu \leq C 2^{np} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)^2 \frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)} \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$R_n^p \int_{Q_n} |\nabla(u-k_n)_- \varphi|^p d\nu \leq C 2^{np} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)^p \frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)}. \quad (4.10)$$

The last step is to estimate the left hand side of (4.8) from below

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{n+1}} (u-k_n)_-^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} d\nu \\ & \geq \int_{Q_{n+1}} (u-k_n)_-^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} \chi_{\{(u-k_{n+1})_- > 0\}} d\nu \\ & \geq |k_{n+1} - k_n|^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} \int_{Q_{n+1}} \chi_{\{(u-k_{n+1})_- > 0\}} d\nu \\ & \geq \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{n+3}}\right)^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} \frac{\nu(A_{n+1})}{\nu(Q_{n+1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Inserting (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) into (4.8) we conclude

$$\frac{\nu(A_{n+1})}{\nu(Q_{n+1})} \leq C^{n+1} \left(\frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)}\right)^{2-p/\kappa}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.12)$$

By setting

$$Y_n = \frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)},$$

we obtain the recursive relation

$$Y_{n+1} \leq C^{n+1} Y_n^{2-p/\kappa},$$

for some constant C , depending only on the data. We conclude, using Lemma 2.4, that if

$$Y_0 \leq C^{-1/(1-p/\kappa)+1-(1-p/\kappa)^2} := \alpha_0$$

holds then $Y_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But since this condition is precisely the assumption of the first alternative (4.4) for the indicated choice of α_0 the result follows. $\square$

Now we impose the logarithmic estimates and make use of the previous lemma to prove that the set where u is close to its infimum can be made arbitrarily small within the time interval $-\theta < t < 0$, where

$$-\theta := t^* - d_i \left(\frac{R_i}{2}\right)^p \quad (4.13)$$

for some t^* as in (4.3).

Lemma 4.2. *Assume (4.4) holds for some t^* satisfying (4.3) and let θ be given as in (4.13). For every number $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$, there exists $s_* \in \mathbb{N}$, depending only on the data, such that*

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in \frac{1}{4}B(R_i) : u(x, t) < \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{2s_*} \right\} \right) \leq \alpha_1 \mu \left(\frac{1}{4}B(R_i) \right), \quad \forall t \in (-\theta, 0).$$

Proof. Our aim is to apply Lemma 3.2 to the function $(u - k)_-$ with

$$k = \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{4}, \quad c = \frac{\omega_i}{2^{n+2}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_k^- = \frac{\omega_i}{4}$$

in the cylinder $\frac{1}{2}Q(\theta R_i^p, R_i)$. Here $n \in \mathbb{N}$ will be determined later depending on the data. Observe that, by Lemma 4.1, $u(x, -\theta) > k$ in $\frac{1}{2}B(R_i)$. Thus

$$\psi_-(u)(x, -\theta) = 0, \quad x \in \frac{1}{2}B(R_i).$$

On the other hand, since $(u - k)_- \leq \frac{\omega_i}{4}$ we get

$$\psi_-(u) \leq \ln \left(\frac{2^{-2}\omega_i}{2^{-(n+2)}\omega_i} \right) = n \ln 2$$

and

$$\left| (\psi_-)'(u) \right|^{2-p} = (H_k^- - (u - k)_- + c)^{p-2} \leq \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2} \right)^{p-2}.$$

Choose $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\frac{1}{2}B(R_i))$ independent of time and with properties $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi = 1 \in \frac{1}{4}B(R_i)$ and

$$|\nabla \varphi| \leq \frac{C}{R_i}.$$

In the set

$$\left\{ x \in \frac{1}{4}B(R_i) : u < \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{2} \right\}$$

$\psi_-(u)$ can be bounded from below as

$$\psi_-(u) \geq \ln \left(\frac{2^{-2}\omega_i}{2^{-(n+2)}\omega_i + 2^{-(n+2)}\omega_i} \right) \geq (n-1) \ln 2.$$

Note that (4.2) implies $k \leq \frac{\omega_i}{2}$.

Now we apply Lemma 3.2 in the minus case to the function $(u - k)_-$ and use the above estimates to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_i^{q-1} (n-1)^2 (\ln 2)^2 \mu \left(\left\{ x \in \frac{1}{4}B(R_i) : u < \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{2} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq k^{q-1} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{-\theta < t < 0} \int_{\frac{1}{2}B(R_i)} \psi_-^2(u) \varphi \, d\mu \\ & \leq C \int_{-\theta}^0 \int_{\frac{1}{2}B(R_i)} \psi_-(u) \left| (\psi_-)'(u) \right|^{2-p} |\nabla \varphi|^p \, d\nu \\ & \leq C n (\ln 2) \frac{1}{R_i^p} \theta \mu \left(\frac{1}{2}B(R_i) \right) \\ & \leq C n (\ln 2) \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^\lambda} \right)^{2-p} \omega_i^{q-1} \mu \left(\frac{1}{4}B(R_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we used the fact $\theta \leq c_i R_i^p$. A simplification in the above inequality gives

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in \frac{1}{4}B(R_i) : u < \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{2} \right\} \right) \leq C \frac{n}{(n-1)^2} 2^{\lambda(p-2)} \mu \left(\frac{1}{4}B(R_i) \right).$$

To conclude, we choose $s_* = n + 2$ with $n > 1 + \frac{2C}{\alpha_1} 2^{\lambda(p-2)}$. $\square$

The information of this lemma will be used to show that the conclusion of Lemma 4.1 holds in a full cylinder that includes the origin.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume (4.4) holds for some t^* satisfying (4.3) and let θ be given as in (4.13). There exists $s_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, depending only on the data, such that*

$$u(x, t) > \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{2^{s_1+1}}, \quad \text{a.e. in } Q\left(\theta, \frac{R_i}{8}\right).$$

Proof. Let

$$R_n = \frac{R_i}{8} + \frac{R_i}{2^{n+3}}, \quad Q_n = Q(\theta, R_n)$$

and

$$k_n = \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{2^{s_1+1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^n}\right)$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Take cutoff functions $\varphi_n(x) \in C^\infty(B_n)$, where $B_n = B(R_n)$, vanishing on the parabolic boundary of B_n , and such that $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$, $\varphi_n = 1$ in B_{n+1} ,

$$|\nabla \varphi_n| \leq \frac{C 2^n}{R_i}.$$

Observe that $k_n \leq \omega_i$ by assumption (4.2) and $u(x, -\theta) > \mu_i + \frac{\omega_i}{4} \geq k_n$ in $B_n \subset \frac{1}{2}B(R_i)$ by Lemma 4.1 which implies $u(x, -\theta) = 0$ in B_n . Taking into account these estimates, (3.9) and (3.10), energy estimate (3.1) in the minus case takes form in this setting as

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_i^{q-1} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{-\theta < t < 0} \int_{B_n} (u - k_n)_-^2 \varphi_n^p d\mu + \theta \int_{Q_n} |\nabla(u - k_n)_- \varphi|^p d\nu \\ & \leq C \theta \int_{Q_n} (u - k_n)_-^p |\nabla \varphi_n|^p d\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Using the facts

$$(u - k_n)_- \leq \frac{\omega_i}{2^{s_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \leq c_i R_i^p$$

together with the estimate on $|\nabla \varphi_n|$ we arrive at

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{-\theta < t < 0} \int_{B_n} (u - k_n)_-^2 \varphi_n^p d\mu \leq C 2^{np} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{s_1}}\right)^2 2^{(\lambda-s_1)(p-2)} \frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)}$$

and

$$R_n^p \int_{Q_n} |\nabla(u - k_n)_- \varphi|^p d\nu \leq C 2^{np} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{s_1}}\right)^p \frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)},$$

where $A_n = \{(x, t) \in Q_n : u(x, t) < k_n\}$. Observe that the estimate (4.8) continues to hold in the setting of this lemma and the left hand side is

estimated from below as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{n+1}} (u - k_n)_-^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} d\nu \\
& \geq \int_{Q_{n+1}} (u - k_n)_-^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} \chi_{\{(u-k_{n+1})_- > 0\}} d\nu \\
& \geq |k_{n+1} - k_n|^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} \int_{Q_{n+1}} \chi_{\{(u-k_{n+1})_- > 0\}} d\nu \\
& \geq \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{s_1+n+2}} \right)^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} \frac{\nu(A_{n+1})}{\nu(Q_{n+1})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Substituting these last three estimates in (4.8) and assuming that $s_1 > \lambda$, we obtain

$$\frac{\nu(A_{n+1})}{\nu(Q_{n+1})} \leq C^{n+1} \left(\frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)} \right)^{2-p/\kappa}.$$

We set

$$Y_n = \frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)},$$

as before and rephrase the above inequality as

$$Y_{n+1} \leq C^{n+1} Y_n^{2-p/\kappa}.$$

Define

$$\alpha_1 = C^{-1/(1-p/\kappa)+1-(1-p/\kappa)^2}.$$

We conclude, using Lemma 2.4, that if $Y_0 \leq \alpha_1$ holds then $Y_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we apply Lemma 4.2 with such an α_1 and conclude there exists $s_* =: s_1$, depending only on the data, such that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in \frac{1}{4}B(R_i) : u(x, t) < \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{2^{s_1}} \right\} \right) \leq \alpha_1 \mu \left(\frac{1}{4}B(R_i) \right), \quad \forall t \in (-\theta, 0),$$

which is exactly $Y_0 \leq \alpha_0$. The result is concluded since $Y_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $A_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

We finally reach the reduction of the oscillation of the solution for the first alternative.

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose that (3.2) and (4.2) hold in $Q^i = Q(c_i R_i^p, R_i)$. Assume also that (4.4) is verified. Then there is a constant $\sigma_I \in (0, 1)$, depending only on the data, such that*

$$\operatorname{ess\,osc}_{\frac{1}{8}Q(d_i R_i^p, R_i)} u \leq \sigma_I \omega_i. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$d_i \left(\frac{R_i}{8} \right)^p \leq \theta = -t^* + d_i \left(\frac{R_i}{2} \right)^p$$

since $t^* < 0$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\frac{1}{8}Q(d_i R_i^p, R_i)} u \geq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{Q(\theta, \frac{R_i}{8})} u \geq \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{2^{s_1+1}}.$$

Put

$$\mu_{i+1}^+ = \mu_i^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{i+1}^- = \mu_i^- + \frac{\omega_i}{2^{s_1+1}}.$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,osc}_{\frac{1}{8}Q(d_i R_i^p, R_i)} u = \mu_{i+1}^+ - \mu_{i+1}^- = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s_1+1}}\right) \omega_i$$

and the corollary follows with $\sigma_I = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{s_1+1}} \in (3/4, 1)$. $\square$

4.2. The Second Alternative. Now we analyze the second alternative. Assume (4.5) holds for all cylinders of the type $Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i)$, where t^* is as in (4.3).

Fix a cylinder $Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i)$. We deduce from (4.5) that there exists a time level $t^0 \in (t^* - d_i R_i^p, t^* - \frac{\alpha_0}{2} d_i R_i^p)$ such that

$$\mu(\{x \in B(R_i) : u(x, t^0) > \mu_i^+ - \omega_i/2\}) \leq \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0/2}\right) \mu(B(R_i)). \quad (4.17)$$

In fact, if (4.17) is violated for all $(t^* - d_i R_i^p, t^* - \frac{\alpha_0}{2} d_i R_i^p)$, we would get

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu(\{(x, t) \in Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i) : u(x, t) > \mu_i^+ - \omega_i/2\}) \\ & \geq \int_{t^* - d_i R_i^p}^{t^* - (\alpha_0/2) d_i R_i^p} \mu(\{x \in B(R_i) : u(x, t) > \mu_i^+ - \omega_i/2\}) dt \\ & > (1 - \alpha_0) \nu(Q(d_i R_i^p, R_i)), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (4.5).

The next lemma asserts that a similar property still holds for all time levels in an interval up to the origin.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume (4.5) is verified. There exists s , depending only upon the data, such that*

$$\mu(\{x \in B(R_i) : u(x, t) > \mu_i^+ - 2^{-s} \omega_i\}) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{4}\right) \mu(B(R_i)),$$

for almost every $t \in (-(c_i/2)R_i^p, 0)$.

Proof. Let

$$c = \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{s+1}}\right)^q, \quad k = (\mu_i^+)^q - \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)^q, \quad H_k^+ = \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)^q,$$

and set $Q := B(R_i) \times (t^0, t^*)$. We shall use Lemma 3.2 to forward the information in time. Set $v = u^q$ and recall the definition

$$\psi_+(v) = \Psi(H_k^+, (v-k)_+, c) = \ln^+ \left(\frac{H_k^+}{c + H_k^+ - (v-k)_+} \right).$$

From $(v-k)_+ \leq (\omega_i/2)^q \equiv H_k^+$ we obtain

$$\psi_+(v) \leq \ln \frac{\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)^q}{\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{s+1}}\right)^q} = sq \ln 2.$$

We continue with the estimate

$$|\psi'_+(v)|^{2-p} \leq (H_k^+ + c)^{p-2} \leq 2^{q(p-2)} \omega_i^{q(p-2)}.$$

Denote $B := B(R_i)$ and let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B)$ be a time-independent cutoff function and has the properties $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi = 1$ in $(1 - \delta)B$ and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq \frac{C}{\delta R_i}$, where $0 < \delta < 1$ is to be determined later. Define

$$S = \left\{ x \in (1 - \delta)B : v > (\mu_i^+)^q - \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{s+1}} \right)^q \right\}$$

and

$$S' = \left\{ x \in (1 - \delta)B : v > \left(\mu_i^+ - \frac{\omega_i}{2^{s+1}} \right)^q \right\}$$

and observe that $S' \subset S$ for $q \in (0, 1)$.

In the set S we get

$$\psi_+(v) \geq \ln \frac{\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2} \right)^q}{-v + (\mu_i^+)^q + \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{s+1}} \right)^q} \geq \ln \frac{\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2} \right)^q}{2 \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{s+1}} \right)^q} \geq (sq - 1) \ln 2.$$

Now we apply Lemma 3.2 in the plus case with these choices to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} (sq - 1)^2 (\ln 2)^2 \mu(S) &\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t^0 < t < t^*} \int_B \psi_+^2(v)(x, t) \varphi^p(x) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_B \psi_+^2(v)(x, t^0) \varphi^p(x) d\mu \\ &\quad + C \int_{t^0}^{t^*} \int_B v^{\frac{(1-q)(p-1)}{q}} \psi_+(v) |(\psi_+)'(v)|^{2-p} |\nabla \varphi|^p dv \\ &\leq (sq)^2 (\ln 2)^2 \frac{1 - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0/2} \mu(B) \\ &\quad + C \omega_i^{(1-q)(p-1)} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2} \right)^{q(p-2)} \frac{sq}{\delta^p R_i^p} |t^* - t^0| \mu(B), \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $t \in (t^0, t^*)$, where C depends only upon the data. Observe that in the third inequality we have used (4.17) and

$$v = u^q \leq (2\omega_i)^q,$$

which follows from (4.2). We use $|t^* - t^0| \leq d_i R_i^p$ to obtain

$$\mu(S') \leq \mu(S) \leq \frac{(sq)^2}{(sq - 1)^2} \frac{1 - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0/2} \mu(B) + C \frac{sq}{(sq - 1)^2} \frac{1}{\delta^p} \mu(B).$$

By the annular decay property (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(\{x \in B : v(x, t) > (\mu_i^+ - 2^{-(s+1)} \omega_i)^q\}) \\ &\leq \mu(B \setminus (1 - \delta)B) + \mu(\{x \in (1 - \delta)B : v(x, t) > (\mu_i^+ - 2^{-(s+1)} \omega_i)^q\}) \\ &\leq C \delta^\alpha \mu(B) + \mu(S'), \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $t \in (t^0, t^*)$. For the first term, we choose δ small enough so that

$$C \delta^\alpha < \frac{\alpha_0}{24}$$

and for the second term we use the previous estimate. By choosing s large enough so that

$$\frac{1 - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0/2} \frac{(sq)^2}{(sq - 1)^2} \leq 1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{3}$$

and

$$\frac{C(sq)}{\delta^p(sq-1)^2} \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{24}$$

hold, we get

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in B : v(x, t) > \left(\mu_i^+ - 2^{-(s+1)} \omega_i \right)^q \right\} \right) \leq 1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{4} \quad (4.18)$$

for almost every $t \in (t^0, t^*)$.

Since (4.17) holds for all cylinders of type $Q_{t^*}(d_i R_i^p, R_i)$ the conclusion (4.18) holds for all time levels

$$t \geq -(c_i - d_i) R_i^p - \frac{\alpha_0}{2} d_i R_i^p.$$

By choosing

$$2^{(\lambda-1)(p-2)} \geq 2 \quad (4.19)$$

we get $c_i/d_i \geq 2 - \alpha_0$, which implies

$$-(c_i - d_i) R_i^p - \frac{\alpha_0}{2} d_i R_i^p \leq -\frac{c_i}{2} R_i^p.$$

□

The information of the previous lemma will be used to prove a critical estimate for the reduction of the oscillation in the second alternative.

Lemma 4.6. *For every $\alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$ there exists $m \geq s$, depending only on the data and α_2 , such that*

$$\frac{\nu \left(\left\{ (x, t) \in Q \left(\frac{c_i}{2} R_i^p, R_i \right) : u(x, t) > \mu_i^+ - 2^{-m} \omega_i \right\} \right)}{\nu \left(Q \left(\frac{c_i}{2} r^p, d_i r \right) \right)} \leq \alpha_2.$$

Proof. Let

$$E_n(t) = \{x \in B(R_i) : u(x, t) > \mu_i^+ - 2^{-n} \omega_i\}$$

and

$$E_n = \{(x, t) \in Q \left(\frac{c_i}{2} R_i^p, R_i \right) : u(x, t) > \mu_i^+ - 2^{-n} \omega_i\}.$$

Denote

$$h = \mu_i^+ - 2^{-(n+1)} \omega_i$$

and

$$k = \mu_i^+ - 2^{-n} \omega_i,$$

where $n \geq s$ will be chosen large. Here s is as in Lemma 4.5. Let

$$w = \begin{cases} h - k, & u \geq h, \\ u - k, & k < u < h, \\ 0, & u \leq k. \end{cases}$$

We shall use the notation $B = B(R_i)$. By Lemma 4.5 and the fact that $n \geq s$, for almost every $t \in (-(c_i/2) R_i^p, 0)$, we have

$$\mu(\{x \in B : w(x, t) = 0\}) = \mu(\{x \in B : u(x, t) \leq k\}) \geq \frac{\alpha_0}{4} \mu(B).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$w_B(t) = \int_{B \times \{t\}} w \, d\mu \leq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{4}\right) (h - k)$$

and, consequently,

$$h - k - w_B(t) \geq \frac{\alpha_0}{4}(h - k),$$

for almost every $t \in (-(c_i/2)R_i^p, 0)$. Using the (q, q) -Poincaré inequality for some $q < p$ (see (2.5) and the subsequent remarks), yields

$$\begin{aligned} (h - k)^q \mu(E_{n+1}(t)) &\leq \left(\frac{4}{\alpha_0}\right)^q \int_{B \times \{t\}} |w - w_B(t)|^q d\mu \\ &\leq CR_i^q \int_{B \times \{t\}} |\nabla w|^q d\mu = CR_i^q \int_{E_n(t) \setminus E_{n+1}(t)} |\nabla u|^q d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $t \in (-(c_i/2)R_i^p, 0)$. The constant $(4/\alpha_0)^q$ above is absorbed into the constant C since it is already fixed in terms of the data in Lemma 4.1. Now we integrate the above inequality over time to get

$$(h - k)^q \nu(E_{n+1}) \leq CR_i^q \int_{E_n \setminus E_{n+1}} |\nabla v|^q d\nu.$$

Introduce a cutoff function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q(c_i R_i^p, 2R_i))$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi = 1$ in $Q((c_i/2)R_i^p, R_i)$, φ vanishes on the parabolic boundary of $Q(c_i R_i^p, 2R_i)$, and

$$|\nabla \varphi| \leq \frac{C}{R_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)_+ \leq \frac{C}{c_i R_i^p}.$$

Now, Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} (h - k)^q \nu(E_{n+1}) &\leq CR_i^q \left(\int_{E_n \setminus E_{n+1}} |\nabla u|^p d\nu \right)^{q/p} \nu(E_n \setminus E_{n+1})^{1-q/p} \\ &\leq C \left(R_i^p \int_{Q(c_i R_i^p, 2R_i)} |\nabla(u-k)_+|^p \varphi^p d\nu \right)^{q/p} \nu(E_n \setminus E_{n+1})^{1-q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\lambda > n(\geq s)$. The first factor on the right hand side can be estimated by Lemma 3.1 in the positive case as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q(c_i R_i^p, 2R_i)} |\nabla(u-k)_+|^p \varphi^p d\nu \\ &\leq C \int_{Q(c_i R_i^p, 2R_i)} (u-k)_+^p |\nabla \varphi|^p d\nu + Ck^{q-1} \int_{Q(c_i R_i^p, 2R_i)} (u-k)_+^2 \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)_+ d\nu \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C}{R_i^p} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^n}\right)^p + \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)^{q-1} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^\lambda}\right)^{p-2} \omega_i^{1-q} \right) \nu(Q(c_i R_i^p, 2R_i)) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R_i^p} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^n}\right)^p \nu\left(Q\left(\frac{c_i}{2}R_i^p, R_i\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

In the second inequality we have used $(u-k)_+ \leq \frac{\omega_i}{2^n} \leq \frac{\omega_i}{2^s}$ and $k \geq \frac{\omega_i}{2}$. In the third one the doubling property of the measure ν is used together with the assumption $\lambda > n$. We obtain

$$\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{n+1}}\right)^q \nu(E_{n+1}) \leq C \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^n}\right)^q \left[\nu\left(Q\left(\frac{c_i}{2}R_i^p, R_i\right)\right) \right]^{q/p} \nu(E_n \setminus E_{n+1})^{1-q/p}.$$

Finally, summing n over $s, \dots, m-1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (m-s)\nu(E_m)^{p/(p-q)} &\leq C \left[\nu \left(Q \left(\frac{c_i}{2} R_i^p, R_i \right) \right) \right]^{q/(p-q)} \nu \left(Q \left(\frac{c_i}{2} R_i^p, R_i \right) \right) \\ &= C \left[\nu \left(Q \left(\frac{c_i}{2} R_i^p, R_i \right) \right) \right]^{p/(p-q)}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\nu(E_m) \leq \frac{C}{(m-s)^{(p-q)/p}} \nu \left(Q \left(\frac{c_i}{2} R_i^p, R_i \right) \right).$$

Choosing m large enough finishes the proof. $\square$

Now we prove the main lemma of this alternative. We also determine the size of the cylinder Q^i by fixing λ and consequently c_i .

Lemma 4.7. *Assume (4.5) holds. Then the choice of λ can be made so that*

$$u(x, t) \leq \mu_i^+ - \frac{\omega_i}{2^{\lambda+1}}, \quad \text{a.e. in } \frac{1}{2}Q \left(\frac{c_i}{2}, R_i \right).$$

Proof. Define

$$R_n = \frac{R_i}{2} + \frac{R_i}{2^{n+1}}, \quad Q_n = Q \left(\frac{c_i}{2} R_n^p, R_n \right), \quad B_n = B(R_n)$$

and

$$k_n = \mu_i^+ - \frac{\omega_i}{2^{\lambda+1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^n} \right)$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Consider the cutoff functions $\varphi_n(x) \in C_0^\infty(Q_n)$ vanishing on the parabolic boundary of Q_n and such that $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$, $\varphi_n = 1$ in Q_{n+1} ,

$$|\nabla \varphi_n| \leq \frac{C2^n}{R_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial t} \right)_+ \leq \frac{C2^{pn}}{c_i R_i^p}. \quad (4.20)$$

Observe that $u \leq \mu_i^+ = \mu_i^- + \omega_i \leq 2\omega_i$ by assumption (4.2). We also have the estimate for the levels $k_n \geq 2^{-1}\omega_i$. Allowing these estimates together with (3.7) and (3.8), the energy estimate (3.1) in the plus case takes form in this setup as

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_i^{q-1} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{-\frac{c_i}{2} R_n^p < t < 0} \int_{B_n} (u-k_n)_-^2 \varphi_n^p d\mu + -\frac{c_i}{2} R_n^p \int_{Q_n} |\nabla(u-k_n)_- \varphi|^p d\nu \\ &\leq C - \frac{c_i}{2} R_n^p \left\{ \int_{Q_n} (u-k_n)_-^p |\nabla \varphi_n|^p d\nu + C \omega_i^{q-1} \right\} \int_{Q_n} (u-k_n)_-^2 \varphi_n^{p-1} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial t} \right)_+ d\nu \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

$$(4.22)$$

Using (4.20) and the estimate $(u-k_n)_+ \leq 2^{-\lambda}\omega_i$ we arrive at

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{-\frac{c_i}{2} R_n^p < t < 0} \int_{B_n} (u-k_n)_-^2 \varphi_n^p d\mu \leq C 2^{np} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)}$$

and

$$R_n^p \int_{Q_n} |\nabla(u-k_n)_- \varphi|^p d\nu \leq C 2^{np} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^\lambda} \right)^p \frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)},$$

where $A_n = \{(x, t) \in Q_n : u(x, t) > k_n\}$. The left hand side of (4.8), which continues to hold in the setting of this lemma as well, is estimated from below as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{n+1}} (u - k_n)_-^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} d\nu \\
& \geq \int_{Q_{n+1}} (u - k_n)_-^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} \chi_{\{(u-k_{n+1})_- > 0\}} d\nu \\
& \geq |k_{n+1} - k_n|^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} \int_{Q_{n+1}} \chi_{\{(u-k_{n+1})_- > 0\}} d\nu \\
& \geq \left(\frac{\omega_i}{2^{\lambda+n+2}} \right)^{2(1-p/\kappa)+p} \frac{\nu(A_{n+1})}{\nu(Q_{n+1})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Substituting these last three estimates in (4.8) and assuming that $s_1 > \lambda$, we obtain

$$\frac{\nu(A_{n+1})}{\nu(Q_{n+1})} \leq C^{n+1} \left(\frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)} \right)^{2-p/\kappa}.$$

We set

$$Y_n = \frac{\nu(A_n)}{\nu(Q_n)},$$

as usual and we find

$$Y_{n+1} \leq C^{n+1} Y_n^{2-p/\kappa}.$$

Defining

$$\alpha_2 = C^{-1/(1-p/\kappa)+1-(1-p/\kappa)^2},$$

we conclude, using Lemma 2.4, that if $Y_0 \leq \alpha_1$ holds then $Y_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we set

$$\lambda = \max\left\{1 + \frac{1}{p-2}, m\right\}. \tag{4.24}$$

The choice trivially satisfies (4.19) and by the previous lemma we conclude that λ can be chosen large enough so that $\frac{\nu(A_0)}{\nu(Q_0)}$ is as small as we please. Consequently we reach the final result from $A_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

We obtain the reduction of the oscillation of the solution as a corollary of the previous lemma for the second alternative.

Corollary 4.8. *Assume that (3.2) holds in $Q^i = Q(c_i R_i^p, R_i)$ and that (4.2) is satisfied. Assume also that (4.5) holds. Then there exists a constant $\sigma_{II} \in (3/4, 1)$, depending only on the data, such that*

$$\operatorname{ess\,osc}_{\frac{1}{2}Q(\frac{c_i}{2}R_i^p, R_i)} u \leq \sigma_{II} \omega_i. \tag{4.25}$$

Proof. By the result of the previous lemma we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\frac{1}{2}Q(\frac{c_i}{2}R_i^p, R_i)} u \leq \mu_i^+ - \frac{\omega_i}{2^{\lambda+1}}.$$

Set

$$\mu_{i+1}^+ = \mu_i^+ - \frac{\omega_i}{2^{\lambda+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{i+1}^- = \mu_i^-.$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,osc}_{\frac{1}{2}Q(\frac{c_i}{2}R_i^p, R_i)} u = \mu_{i+1}^+ - \mu_{i+1}^- = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\lambda+1}}\right) \omega_i$$

and the corollary follows with $\sigma_{II} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{\lambda+1}} \in (3/4, 1)$. $\square$

4.3. The case where (4.2) fails. If assumption (4.2) does not hold, which can happen only for an index $i \geq 1$ due to the assumptions (3.1) and (3.4), then we have

$$4\mu_{i_0}^- > \omega_{i_0} \iff \mu_{i_0}^+ < 5\mu_{i_0}^-. \quad (4.26)$$

This elliptic Harnack estimate implies that if this condition holds for an index i_0 then it continues to hold for all indices $i \geq i_0$. By means of the (4.26) we obtain $(4\mu_{i_0}^-)^{q-1} \leq \omega_{i_0}^{q-1}$ and this implies

$$Q\left((4\mu_{i_0}^-)^{q-1} \left(\frac{\omega_{i_0}}{2^\lambda}\right)^{2-p} R_{i_0}, R_{i_0}\right) \subset Q^{i_0}.$$

We redefine R_{i_0} as

$$\bar{R}_{i_0} = \frac{R_{i_0}}{4^{(1-q)/p}} \leq R_{i_0}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\bar{Q}^{i_0} := Q\left((\mu_{i_0}^-)^{q-1} \left(\frac{\omega_{i_0}}{2^\lambda}\right)^{2-p} \bar{R}_{i_0}, \bar{R}_{i_0}\right) \subset Q^{i_0}.$$

In this case we work with the following scalings factors

$$\bar{c}_{i_0} = (\mu_{i_0}^-)^{q-1} \left(\frac{\omega_{i_0}}{2^\lambda}\right)^{2-p} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}_{i_0} = (\mu_{i_0}^-)^{q-1} \left(\frac{\omega_{i_0}}{2}\right)^{2-p}.$$

The only significant change appears in the proof of Lemma 4.1. Instead of (3.9) we use

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}((u-k)_-) &= q \int_0^{(u-k)_-} (k - \xi)^{q-1} \xi \, d\xi \\ &\leq qu^{q-1} \int_0^{(u-k)_-} \xi \, d\xi \\ &\leq qu^{q-1} \frac{(u-k)_-^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that this estimate can be used in this case because thanks to the assumption (4.26) we have $u \geq \mu_i^- > \frac{\omega_i}{4}$, $i \geq i_0$, which implies that solution u is strictly away from zero.

Now the whole process can essentially be repeated in the same way, the constants popping up along the calculations may change but they continue to depend only on the data.

We finally prove the Hölder continuity of u . Theorem 2.3 is an immediate consequence of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose that u is a nonnegative weak solution of equation (1.1) in $Q_{x,t}(R^2, R)$. Then there are positive constants C and α , both depending only on the data, such that*

$$\operatorname{ess\,osc}_{Q_{x,t}(\varrho^p, \varrho)} u \leq C \left(\frac{\varrho}{R}\right)^\alpha \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{Q_{x,t}(R^2, R)} u$$

for all $0 < \varrho < R$.

Proof. After translation, we may assume that $(x, t) \equiv (0, 0)$. Take $\sigma := \max\{\sigma_I, \sigma_{II}\}$ and $\delta := \sigma^{(p-q-1)/p} 2^{-(3+(\lambda-1)(p-2)/p)}$. Observe that this choice of δ gives

$$c_{i+1} (\delta R_i)^p \leq d_i \left(\frac{R_i}{8} \right)^p \leq \frac{c_i}{2} \left(\frac{R_i}{2} \right)^p.$$

Then, by Corollaries 4.4, and 4.8 we have

$$Q(c_{i+1}(\delta^{i+1}R)^p, \delta^{i+1}R) \text{ess osc } u \leq \omega_{i+1} := \sigma^{i+1}\omega_0 = \sigma^{i+1}\omega, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

But since $c_i \geq 1$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$, recall the assumption $\omega \leq 1$ we finally obtain

$$Q((\delta^i R)^p, \delta^i R) \text{ess osc } u \leq C\sigma^i\omega, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

From this, the result follows in a standard way (cf. [5, 26]). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Emilio Acerbi and Giuseppe Mingione. Gradient estimates for a class of parabolic systems. *Duke Math. J.*, 136(2):285–320, 2007.
- [2] Verena Bögelein, Frank Duzaar, and Giuseppe Mingione. Degenerate problems with irregular obstacles. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, (650):107–160, 2011.
- [3] Stephen M. Buckley. Is the maximal function of a Lipschitz function continuous? *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 24(2):519–528, 1999.
- [4] T.H. Colding and W.P. Minicozzi II. Liouville theorems for harmonic sections and applications. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 52:113–138, 1998.
- [5] Emmanuele DiBenedetto. *Degenerate parabolic equations*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [6] Emmanuele DiBenedetto, Ugo Gianazza, and Vincenzo Vespi. Harnack estimates for quasi-linear degenerate parabolic differential equations. *Acta Math.*, 200(2):181–209, 2008.
- [7] Emmanuele DiBenedetto, Ugo Gianazza, and Vincenzo Vespi. Forward, backward and elliptic Harnack inequalities for non-negative solutions to certain singular parabolic partial differential equations. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 9(2):385–422, 2010.
- [8] Emmanuele DiBenedetto, José Miguel Urbano, and Vincenzo Vespi. Current issues on singular and degenerate evolution equations. In *Evolutionary equations. Vol. I*, Handb. Differ. Equ., pages 169–286. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2004.
- [9] Jesus Ildefonso Diaz and Francois De Thelin On a Nonlinear Parabolic Problem Arising in Some Models Related to Turbulent Flows *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 25(4):1085–1111, 1994.
- [10] Simona Fornaro and Ugo Gianazza. Local properties of non-negative solutions to some doubly non-linear degenerate parabolic equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 26(2):481–492, 2010.
- [11] Simona Fornaro and Maria Sosio. Intrinsic Harnack estimates for some doubly non-linear degenerate parabolic equations. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 13(1-2):139–168, 2008.
- [12] Piotr Hajlasz and Pekka Koskela. Sobolev met Poincaré. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145(688):x+101, 2000.
- [13] Juha Heinonen, Tero Kilpeläinen, and Olli Martio. *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [14] Eurica Henriques and José Miguel Urbano. On the doubly singular equation $\gamma(u)_t = \Delta_p u$. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 30(4-6):919–955, 2005.

- [15] Alexander V. Ivanov. Hölder estimates for equations of fast diffusion type. *Algebra i Analiz*, 6(4):101–142, 1994.
- [16] Stephen Keith and Xiao Zhong. The Poincaré inequality is an open ended condition. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(2):575–599, 2008.
- [17] Juha Kinnunen and Tuomo Kuusi. Local behaviour of solutions to doubly nonlinear parabolic equations. *Math. Ann.*, 337(3):705–728, 2007.
- [18] Juha Kinnunen and Nageswari Shanmugalingam. Regularity of quasi-minimizers on metric spaces. *Manuscripta Math.*, 105(3):401–423, 2001.
- [19] Tuomo Kuusi, Rojbin Laleoglu, Juhana Siljander and José Miguel Urbano. Hölder continuity for Trudinger’s equation in measure spaces. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, to appear.
- [20] Tuomo Kuusi. Harnack estimates for weak supersolutions to nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 7(4):673–716, 2008.
- [21] Tuomo Kuusi, Juhana Siljander, and José Miguel Urbano. Hölder continuity to a doubly nonlinear parabolic equation. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, to appear.
- [22] Maria M. Porzio and Vincenzo Vespri. Hölder estimates for local solutions of some doubly nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 103(1):146–178, 1993.
- [23] Laurent Saloff-Coste. A note on Poincaré, Sobolev, and Harnack inequalities. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (2):27–38, 1992.
- [24] Laurent Saloff-Coste. *Aspects of Sobolev-type inequalities*, volume 289 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [25] Neil S. Trudinger. Pointwise estimates and quasilinear parabolic equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 21:205–226, 1968.
- [26] José Miguel Urbano. *The Method of Intrinsic Scaling. A systematic approach to regularity for degenerate and singular PDEs*, volume 1930 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [27] Vincenzo Vespri. On the local behaviour of solutions of a certain class of doubly nonlinear parabolic equations. *Manuscripta Math.*, 75(1):65–80, 1992.

Addresses:

E.H.: Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Trás-os- Montes e Alto Douro, Ap. 1013, 5001-801 Vila Real, Portugal

E-mail: eurica@utad.pt

R.L.: Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Trás-os- Montes e Alto Douro, Ap. 1013, 5001-801 Vila Real, Portugal.

E-mail: rojbin@utad.pt